# Appraisal of lung cancer survival in patients with end-stage renal disease

Ming-Shian Lu<sup>1</sup>, Miao-Fen Chen<sup>2</sup>, Yao-Hsu Yang<sup>3</sup>, Chuan-Pin Lee<sup>3</sup>, Chien-Chao Lin<sup>1</sup>, Yuan-Hsi Tseng<sup>1</sup>, Ying-Huang Tsai<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, ChiaYi, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taiwan and Chang Gung University, College of Medicine, ChiaYi, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup>Center of Excellence for Chang Gung Research Datalink, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital. ChiaYi. Taiwan

<sup>4</sup>Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, ChiaYi, Taiwan

<sup>5</sup>Department of Respiratory Therapy, Chang Gung University, Taiwan

Submitted: 17 March 2019; Accepted: 4 July 2019 Online publication: 22 July 2019

Arch Med Sci 2023; 19 (1): 86–93 DOI: https://doi.org/10.5114/aoms.2019.86783 Copyright © 2019 Termedia & Banach

## Abstract

**Introduction:** The survival outcome of lung cancer patients with end-stage renal disease has been poorly studied in the literature. In this study, we evaluated the effect of end-stage renal disease on lung cancer survival.

Material and methods: A retrospective, multicenter, matched-cohort study of lung cancer patients with end-stage renal disease under renal replacement therapy (WITH-ESRD) and without end-stage renal disease (WITH-OUT-ESRD) was performed. One WITH-ESRD patient was matched to four WITHOUT-ESRD patients.

Results: Baseline clinical characteristics did not differ statistically significantly after matching between the WITH-ESRD and WITHOUT-ESRD groups. WITH-ESRD included 133 patients and WITHOUT-ESRD included 532 patients. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis demonstrated no significant difference in median overall survival between WITH-ESRD patients and WITHOUT-ESRD patients (7.36 months versus 12.25 months, respectively, p = 0.133). Lung cancer WITH-ESRD patients receiving medical treatment had a median overall survival of 5.98 months (95% CI: 4.34-11.76) compared to 14.13 months (95% CI: 11.30–16.43) for WITHOUT-ESRD patients, p = 0.019. Although patients receiving surgical treatment compared to those receiving medical treatment had an improvement of survival by 46% (HR = 0.54, 95% CI: 0.19–1.53, p = 0.243), the difference did not reach statistical significance. Cox regression analysis revealed that male gender and stage IIIA-IV were independent factors associated with poor outcome for WITH-ESRD patients. Conclusions: In our limited experience, the survival for lung cancer with ESRD is not inferior to lung cancer patients without ESRD. The reasons for poor survival for the WITH-ESRD medical treatment group and late diagnosis despite frequent medical visits merit further investigation.

Key words: lung cancer, survival, end-stage renal disease.

#### Introduction

Medical and technological progress has allowed for longer life expectancy. By 2030, there is a probability of more than 50% that female life ex-

## Corresponding author:

Ying-Huang Tsai Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine Chang Gung Memorial Hospital ChiaYi, Taiwan E-mail: cs60632001@yahoo. com.tw



pectancy will break the 90 years barrier [1]. Longer life span implies an increasing incidence of chronic medical conditions such as cancer and chronic kidney disease. Renal replacement therapy, such as hemodialysis, has allowed a significant number of patients suffering from the most severe form of chronic kidney disease to live an acceptable life span. The risk for the development of malignancy in patients suffering from end-stage renal disease differs among different cancers; previous studies have reported an increased risk for developing urinary tract cancer, liver cancer, and breast cancer [2–4]. However, some authors have found a higher incidence of lung cancer [5], while others found no difference [6-8] or even a lower incidence of lung cancer in patients receiving dialysis [2, 9]. The literature regarding the clinical outcome of lung cancer with chronic kidney disease under renal replacement therapy (hemodialysis) is scarce [4, 10]. Possible reasons include the hesitant medical attitude toward these patients given their shortened life expectancy, the increased mortality related to cardiovascular conditions, and the problematic drug dosage adjustment or simply therapeutic abstention. Population-based survival analysis has demonstrated contradictory results for lung cancer with end-stage renal disease patients (WITH-ESRD) compared to lung cancer without end-stage renal disease patients (WITHOUT-ESRD) [4, 10].

This study aims to determine the clinical outcome of lung cancer with end-stage renal disease through a matched-control study.

## Material and methods

The institutional review board of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital has approved this retrospective study. Using the Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Cancer Registry and Death registration (CGRD), all adult patients (older than 18 years) diagnosed with end-stage renal disease (International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9) code 585) and coexisting diagnosis of lung cancer (International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9) code 162) from January 2001 to December 2014 were included in this study. Lung cancer patients with coexisting end-stage renal disease were matched 1:4 to patients with lung cancer without end-stage renal disease based on age, gender, cancer histology, cancer stage, and treatment type. CGRD is a high-quality cancer registry and provides information regarding specific demographics, disease staging, histology type, and primary treatment details. The 7<sup>th</sup> edition of the TNM staging system for lung cancer served for lung cancer staging [11]. We excluded cases with an incomplete medical record or the absence of a pathology report reconfirmed by our in-house pathologist. Overall survival was determined from the day of pathology confirmation until the last follow-up or at the end of 2015, whatever comes first. Patients lost to follow-up were contacted by telephone by the cancer center case manager, and those not reachable by telephone were presumed dead if withdrawn from the National Health Insurance. The National Health Insurance offers universal coverage to more than 99% of the Taiwanese population. Those patients excluded are usually from death, missing premium payments > 6 months, emigration or change of nationality. The Health Promotion Administration, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taiwan, releases an annual death report of all cancer cases registered back to each cancer center for the status update.

## Statistical analysis

We presented continuous data as the median plus standard deviation and categorical data as percentages. We used Pearson's  $\chi^2$  test to compare categorical variables and the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables. We categorized continuous variables into a categorical variable using median values as the cut-off point. We divided the clinical stage into stage I-IIIA versus stage IIIB-IV, and treatment modality into supportive treatment, surgical treatment (excluding diagnostic/staging procedure) and medical treatment group. We used the Kaplan-Meier method for survival analysis (overall survival), and the difference in survival was calculated using the logrank test. Cox proportional hazard analysis was used to estimate the level of significance and the relative risks with 95% confidence interval. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. All the analysis was conducted using SAS statistical software (version 9.4; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

## Results

## Patient selection

After the exclusion of 1355 patients with a previous second malignancy and 37 patients with incomplete clinical data, we included 20854 lung cancer patients from the cancer registry. There were 20695 WITHOUT-ESRD patients and 159 WITH-ESRD patients. WITHOUT-ESRD patients matched WITH-ESRD patients in a 1-to-4 ratio based on age, gender, cancer histology type, cancer stage, and treatment type. Figure 1 shows the matching process.

## Survival outcome of patients with lung cancer without and with end-stage renal disease

There was no statistically significant difference in baseline clinical characteristics after the



Figure 1. Patient recruitment and matching process

matching process. Table I presents the clinical characteristics of the cohort. Survival analysis revealed no survival difference for WITH-ESRD and WITHOUT-ESRD patients (Figure 2). The median overall survival for WITH-ESRD patients was 7.36 months (95% CI: 5.19-11.10) compared to 12.25 months (95% CI: 10.81-14.65) for WITHOUT-ESRD patients, p = 0.133 (Table II). There was a marginal significant difference in survival by gender. The median survival for male WITH-ESRD patients was 6.31 months (95% CI: 3.71-8.51) compared to 11.56 months (95% CI: 8.77-14.19) for WITHOUT-ESRD patients, p = 0.059, while the difference was not significant for female patients. The median overall survival differed significantly for patients with advanced stage lung cancer and patients with medical treatment. For advanced stage lung cancer (stage IIIB/IV), the median overall survival for WITH-ESRD patients was 5.19 months (95% CI: 3.71-5.76) compared to 9.63 months (95% CI: 7.85-11.27) for WITHOUT-ESRD patients, p = 0.022. For the medical treatment group, the median overall survival for WITH-ESRD patients was 5.98 months (95% Cl: 4.34-11.76) compared to 14.13 months (95% CI: 11.30–16.43) for WITHOUT-ESRD patients, p = 0.019. Table II presents the median survival according to different clinical characteristics.

Cox regression analysis revealed that male gender and stage IIIB–IV were independent factors associated with a poor outcome for WITH-ESRD patients (Table III). Although patients with surgical treatment compared to those with medical treatment had an improvement of survival by 46% (HR = 0.54, 95% CI: 0.19–1.53, p = 0.243), the difference did not reach statistical significance.

#### Discussion

Patients with cancer are usually older in general, with a higher prevalence of comorbidities, such as chronic kidney disease. The prevalence of chronic kidney disease is exceptionally high in the elderly, affecting > 40% of people over the age of 70 years [12]. According to the 2007-2010 National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, the prevalence of chronic kidney disease in the United States was 14% (48.3% at stage 3 and 4.7% at stage 4-5) [13]. The estimated prevalence of CKD in Taiwanese patients according to a recent report by Tsai et al. was 15.46% for CKD stage 1-5 and 9.06% for CKD stage 3-5 [14]. End-stage renal disease requiring hemodialysis is the most severe form of chronic kidney disease. The survival of ESRD patients has improved in the last few years, but has not kept pace with that seen in the general population [15]. Only 57% of hemodialysis patients remain alive at 3 years, according to the recent 2017 USRDS annual data report [16].

Taiwan presents with the highest incidence of treated ESRD in the world (476/million) [16]. High 5-year cumulative incidence of any cancer for ESRD patients (9.48%) suggests that patients with ESRD are at risk of developing cancer while receiving hemodialysis [3]. The interface of lung cancer in end-stage renal disease is contradictory in the literature. Population-based studies from Asia for patients receiving chronic dialysis have demonstrated that the risk for developing lung cancer is not increased or even lower [2, 8, 9, 17]; however, reports from western countries have found higher risk for developing lung cancer [3, 5]. A meta-analysis performed by Shang et al. [18] demonstrated no higher risk of developing lung cancer risk among patients receiving dialvsis with a pooled standardized incidence ratio of 0.98 (95% CI: 0.77-1.24). Ethnic differences in cancer risk could be attributed to genetic differences [17]. In Singapore, the highest smoking prevalence is observed in Malay males, but they present with a lower age-standardized lung cancer rate compared to Chinese males [17]. The differences of deletion rate of the enzyme cytochrome P450 2A6 (CYP2A6), an enzyme involved in the metabolism of tobacco carcinogens, is high among Malays (35.2%) followed by Chinese (18.3%) and Indians (7.1%) [19]. Using real-world data from Poland, Brzozowska et al. confirmed the clinical efficacy of tyrosine-kinase inhibitors (TKIs), but the survival results are lower compared to clinical studies from Asian populations [20].

The US Preventive Services Task Force recommends annual low-dose computed tomography (LDCT) screening for persons aged 55 to 80 years with a 30 pack-year smoking history, either currently smoking or having quit within 
 Table I. Cohort and matched-cohort demographic characteristics of patients

| Characteristics                       | Cohort            |               |          | Matched cohort    |               |                  |  |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|--|
|                                       | Non-ESRD<br>n (%) | ESRD<br>n (%) | P-value* | Non-ESRD<br>n (%) | ESRD<br>n (%) | <i>P</i> -value* |  |
| Number of patients                    | 20,695 (100.0%)   | 159 (100.0%)  |          | 532 (100.0%)      | 133 (100.0%)  |                  |  |
| Age [years]:                          |                   |               | 0.164    |                   |               | 1.000            |  |
| Mean (SD)                             | 65.9 (12.4)       | 67.7 (10.3)   |          | 67.9 (9.3)        | 67.9 (9.3)    |                  |  |
| Median                                | 68.0              | 70.0          |          | 70.0              | 70.0          |                  |  |
| Gender:                               |                   |               | 0.466    |                   |               | 1.000            |  |
| Female                                | 7,237 (35.0%)     | 60 (37.7%)    |          | 192 (36.1%)       | 48 (36.1%)    |                  |  |
| Male                                  | 13,458 (65.0%)    | 99 (62.3%)    |          | 340 (63.9%)       | 85 (63.9%)    |                  |  |
| Histology:                            |                   |               | 0.839    |                   |               | 1.000            |  |
| SCLC                                  | 4,068 (19.7%)     | 36 (22.6%)    |          | 116 (21.8%)       | 29 (21.8%)    |                  |  |
| NSCLC:                                | 16,627 (80.3%)    | 123 (77.4%)   |          | 416 (78.2%)       | 104 (78.2%)   |                  |  |
| Adenocarcinoma                        | 10,200 (49.3%)    | 80 (50.3%)    |          | 261 (49.1%)       | 68 (51.1%)    |                  |  |
| Adenosquamous cell carcinoma          | 290 (1.4%)        | 2 (1.3%)      |          | 7 (1.3%)          | 2 (1.5%)      |                  |  |
| Large cell carcinoma                  | 216 (1.0%)        | 2 (1.3%)      |          | 10 (1.9%)         | 1 (0.8%)      |                  |  |
| Sarcomatoid carcinoma                 | 103 (0.5%)        | 0 (0.0%)      |          | 2 (0.4%)          | 0 (0.0%)      |                  |  |
| Small cell carcinoma                  | 1,938 (9.4%)      | 11 (6.9%)     |          | 47 (8.8%)         | 9 (6.8%)      |                  |  |
| Others                                | 3,880 (18.7%)     | 28 (17.6%)    |          | 89 (16.7%)        | 24 (18.0%)    |                  |  |
| Stage:                                |                   |               | 0.160    |                   |               | 1.000            |  |
| Unknown                               | 2,493 (12.0%)     | 19 (11.9%)    |          | 52 (9.8%)         | 13 (9.8%)     |                  |  |
| I–IIIA                                | 3,513 (17.0%)     | 36 (22.6%)    |          | 108 (20.3%)       | 27 (20.3%)    |                  |  |
| IIIB–IV                               | 14,689 (71.0%)    | 104 (65.4%)   |          | 372 (69.9%)       | 93 (69.9%)    |                  |  |
| Treatment modality (within 3 months): |                   |               | 0.005    |                   |               | 1.000            |  |
| No treatment/supportive care          | 8,299 (40.1%)     | 72 (45.3%)    |          | 236 (44.4%)       | 59 (44.4%)    |                  |  |
| Medical treatment:                    | 10,026 (48.4%)    | 59 (37.1%)    |          | 220 (41.4%)       | 55 (41.4%)    |                  |  |
| СТ                                    | 4,872 (23.5%)     | 27 (17.0%)    |          | 91 (17.1%)        | 26 (19.5%)    |                  |  |
| RT                                    | 1,660 (8.0%)      | 9 (5.7%)      |          | 31 (5.8%)         | 7 (5.3%)      |                  |  |
| CT + RT                               | 1,923 (9.3%)      | 8 (5.0%)      |          | 42 (7.9%)         | 8 (6.0%)      |                  |  |
| CT + TKI                              | 1,127 (5.4%)      | 11 (6.9%)     |          | 33 (6.2%)         | 10 (7.5%)     |                  |  |
| RT + TKI                              | 0 (0.0%)          | 0 (0.0%)      |          | 0 (0.0%)          | 0 (0.0%)      |                  |  |
| ТКІ                                   | 0 (0.0%)          | 0 (0.0%)      |          | 0 (0.0%)          | 0 (0.0%)      |                  |  |
| CT + RT + TKI                         | 434 (2.1%)        | 4 (2.5%)      |          | 23 (4.3%)         | 4 (3.0%)      |                  |  |
| Surgical treatment:                   | 2,370 (7.3%)      | 28 (17.6%)    |          | 76 (14.3%)        | 19 (14.3%)    |                  |  |
| OP                                    | 1,516 (7.3%)      | 26 (16.4%)    |          | 52 (9.8%)         | 18 (13.5%)    |                  |  |
| OP + CT                               | 650 (3.1%)        | 1 (0.6%)      |          | 20 (3.8%)         | 0 (0.0%)      |                  |  |
| OP + RT                               | 97 (0.5%)`        | 1 (0.6%)      |          | 3 (0.6%)          | 1 (0.8%)      |                  |  |
| OP + CT + RT                          | 107 (0.5%)        | 0 (0.0%)      |          | 1 (0.2%)          | 0 (0.0%)      |                  |  |

ESRD – end-stage renal disease, CT – chemotherapy, NSCLC – non-small cell lung cancer, OP – surgical resection, RT – radiotherapy, SCLC – small cell lung cancer, Target – target therapy.



**Figure 2.** Survival curve for lung cancer WITH-ESRD and WITHOUT-ESRD. For WITH-ESRD patients, the median overall survival was 7.36 months (95% CI: 5.19-11.10) compared to 12.25 months (95% CI: 10.81-14.65) for patients WITHOUT-ESRD, p = 0.133

15 years [21]. The usefulness of lung cancer screening with low dose computed tomography is well established; however, the high false positive rate, the cost, and the irradiation risk still need to be addressed [22]. Unfortunately, because of the reduced life for ESRD patients to the general population, preventive cancer screening is not recommended [23]. However, the frequent medical visits by ESRD patients, in theory, should have allowed for earlier detection of malignancies. The conventional dialysis schedule for a typical ESRD patient is usually three times per week. Despite this high medical visit rate, nearly 70% of patients in our cohort presented with advanced stage lung cancer upon diagnosis. Although the likelihood of non-localized stage lung cancer for a patient receiving hemodialysis at diagnosis was not significantly different from the general population [24], the high medical visit rate did not translate into earlier detection of cancer. The reason for the delay in diagnosis merits further studies.

The survival of lung cancer with end-stage renal disease patients has conflicting results in the literature. In this study, we found that the median overall survival for WITH-ESRD patients was 7.36 months (95% CI: 5.19–11.10) compared to 12.25 months (95% CI: 10.81–14.65) for WITHOUT-ESRD patients, p = 0.133. Our result concurs with Cheung *et al.* [10] where the overall survival of lung cancer patients with ESRD did not differ significantly from patients without ESRD. In contrast, in a report that included only ESRD patients without a general population for comparison, Chien *et al.* [4] found a decreased survival rate in lung cancer-ESRD patients with a 5-year survival rate of 8% for male lung cancer-ESRD patients and 4% for female lung cancer-ESRD patients (p = 0.422), which is much lower than previously reported 16.3% at 5 years for lung cancer in Taiwan [25].

Gender survival analysis of our cohort is in agreement with previous reports on gender survival difference in lung cancer, which revealed consistently improved survival for female patients [26–30]. In this study, the median overall survival for female patients with ESRD is 12.25 months (95% CI: 5.78–17.45) comparable to the 14.13 months (95% CI: 11.07–16.43) for female patients without ESRD, p = 0.996. However, a marginally significant median survival difference was noted for male patients without ESRD 11.56 months (95% CI: 8.77–14.19) compared to 6.31 months (3.71–8.51) for male patients with ESRD (p = 0.059).

Among different treatment modalities, surgical resection is currently the treatment that offers the best chance for long-term survival. Using a population-based cancer registry for lung cancer in Taiwan, Wang et al. [31] reported a surgical resection rate of 16.4%. In this report, the resection rate for WITH-ESRD patient was 14.3%, and it concurred with the previous report. The surgical outcome of lung resection for patients under dialysis reported in several small clinical series demonstrated acceptable surgical risk and long-term outcome [32–35]. In a series of 24 dialysis patients from Japan (lobectomies: 22, wedge resection: 1 and segmentectomy: 1), 54 months median survival was reported [32]. In this report, the median overall survival for surgically treated WITH-ESRD patients was 62 months (95% CI: 15.97-84.63) compared to 50.23 months (95% CI: 29.47-96.30) for WITH-OUT-ESRD patients, p = 0.781.

In our cohort, 36.1% of WITH-ESRD patients received some form of chemotherapy with poor median survival. For the medical treatment group, the median survival for WITH-ESRD patients was 5.98 months (95% CI: 4.34–11.76) compared to 14.13 months (95% CI: 11.30-16.43) for WITH-OUT-ESRD patients, p = 0.019. We found that the median survival for WITH-ESRD patients receiving medical treatment was very similar to the median survival of no treatment/supportive treatment for WITHOUT-ESRD patients (5.98 months vs. 6.51 months). The poor median survival for WITH-ESRD patients receiving medical treatment could be the effect of the toxicity at higher doses, and the lack of efficacy at sub-therapeutic doses. In the CANDY study [36], only 28% of the cancer patients with dialysis received anticancer drugs; among them,

| Table II. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis for WITHOUT-ESRD and WITH-ESRD | group |
|---|-------|
|---|-------|

| Parameter                    | WITHOUT-ESRD       |        |       | WITH-ESRD          |        |       | P-value |
|------------------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|--------------------|--------|-------|---------|
|                              | Median<br>[months] | 95% CI |       | Median<br>[months] | 95% CI |       | -       |
| Overall                      | 12.25              | 10.81  | 14.65 | 7.36               | 5.19   | 11.10 | 0.133   |
| Age:                         |                    |        |       |                    |        |       |         |
| ≤ Median                     | 13.83              | 11.27  | 16.46 | 8.05               | 4.93   | 13.83 | 0.164   |
| > Median                     | 10.84              | 7.95   | 14.19 | 6.57               | 3.58   | 12.32 | 0.488   |
| Gender:                      |                    |        |       |                    |        |       |         |
| Female                       | 14.13              | 11.07  | 16.43 | 12.25              | 5.78   | 17.45 | 0.996   |
| Male                         | 11.56              | 8.77   | 14.19 | 6.31               | 3.71   | 8.51  | 0.059   |
| Stage:                       |                    |        |       |                    |        |       |         |
| I–IIIA                       | 34.76              | 24.71  | 50.23 | 34.30              | 8.44   | 78.82 | 0.711   |
| IIIB–IV                      | 9.63               | 7.85   | 11.27 | 5.19               | 3.71   | 7.56  | 0.022   |
| Histology:                   |                    |        |       |                    |        |       |         |
| SCLC                         | 10.58              | 8.48   | 16.00 | 6.57               | 3.35   | 16.82 | 0.587   |
| NSCLC                        | 13.01              | 11.07  | 15.01 | 7.54               | 5.19   | 11.96 | 0.133   |
| Treatment:                   |                    |        |       |                    |        |       |         |
| No treatment/supportive care | 6.51               | 4.70   | 8.15  | 4.73               | 1.97   | 8.77  | 0.914   |
| Medical treatment            | 14.13              | 11.30  | 16.43 | 5.98               | 4.34   | 11.76 | 0.019   |
| Surgical treatment           | 50.23              | 29.47  | 96.30 | 55.62              | 15.97  | 84.63 | 0.781   |

The overall survival was not statistically significantly different between the WITHOUT-ESRD and WITH-ESRD group. Survival for male WITHOUT-ESRD patients is nearly double the survival for male WITH-ESRD patients (median survival of 11.56 months versus 6.31 months respectively, p = 0.059). There is a significant survival difference between WITH-ESRD and WITHOUT-ESRD groups for cancer stage IIIB-IV patients (median survival of 5.19 months compared to 9.63 months), p = 0.022. NSCLC – non-small cell lung cancer. SCLC – small cell lung cancer.

Table III. Adjusted Cox proportional hazard analysis for WITH-ESRD group

| Parameter                    | WITH-ESRD             |      |      |         |  |  |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|------|------|---------|--|--|
| _                            | Adjusted hazard ratio | 95%  | CI   | P-value |  |  |
| Age:                         |                       |      |      |         |  |  |
| ≤ Median                     | Ref.                  |      |      |         |  |  |
| > Median                     | 1.29                  | 0.86 | 1.92 | 0.214   |  |  |
| Gender:                      |                       |      |      |         |  |  |
| Female                       | Ref.                  |      |      |         |  |  |
| Male                         | 1.80                  | 1.16 | 2.78 | 0.009   |  |  |
| Stage:                       |                       |      |      |         |  |  |
| I–IIIA                       | Ref.                  |      |      |         |  |  |
| IIIB–IV                      | 2.47                  | 1.01 | 6.09 | 0.049   |  |  |
| Histology:                   |                       |      |      |         |  |  |
| NSCLC                        | Ref.                  |      |      |         |  |  |
| SCLC                         | 0.93                  | 0.53 | 1.65 | 0.811   |  |  |
| Treatment:                   |                       |      |      |         |  |  |
| No treatment/supportive care | 1.38                  | 0.90 | 2.10 | 0.136   |  |  |
| Medical                      | Ref.                  |      |      |         |  |  |
| Surgical                     | 0.54                  | 0.19 | 1.53 | 0.243   |  |  |

Female gender and cancer stage I–IIIA were associated with improved survival. Although patients receiving surgical treatment showed improved survival of 46% (HR = 0.54, 95% CI: 0.19–1.53), it did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.243). NSCLC – non-small cell lung cancer, SCLC – small cell lung cancer.

44% of the treated patients developed iatrogenic toxicity. Since clinical trials usually exclude patients on dialysis, the clinical profile and safety of a standard regimen are uncertain as well as lacking evidence of a benefit.

Radiotherapy plays an essential role in the treatment of lung cancer, from the treatment of medically inoperable early-stage lung cancer to palliative treatment for metastatic disease. In cases of brain metastasis, the combination of tyrosine-kinase inhibitors plus radiotherapy has shown greater efficacy than radiotherapy plus chemotherapy for the management of lung cancer with brain metastasis [37, 38]. However, the timing and the sequence to start the treatment are not clear. Wang et al. [39] demonstrated that the intracranial progression-free survival (iPFS) differed significantly according to the timing of using radiotherapy in combination with tyrosine-kinase inhibitors. Patients receiving concurrent TKI-radiotherapy or TKI after first-line radiotherapy had an iPFS of 11.1 months and 11.3 months compared to 8.1 months for radiotherapy after TKI failure (p = 0.032). The timing and sequence of combined modality treatment deserve further evaluation.

There are several limitations of this study, such as the retrospective design, lack of smoking status, histology grading, molecular abnormalities, absence of treatment details (possible reduction in chemotherapy dose, toxicity events), and the completion rate of the treatment plan, which may have influenced the clinical outcome. This cohort of patients was composed entirely of Chinese descendants; extrapolation of the results to other ethnic groups needs caution.

In conclusion, in our limited experience, the survival for lung cancer with ESRD is not inferior to lung cancer patients without ESRD. For physically fit patients, surgical resection provides acceptable long-term survival. The reasons for poor survival in patients with medical treatment and the late-stage diagnosis in this group of patients with frequent medical visits merit further investigation.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a research grant from Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CORP-G6D0181).

The authors thank all the members of the Cancer Center at Linkou Chang Gung Hospital, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Hospital, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital at Keelung, and Chang Gung Memorial Hospital at Chiayi for their invaluable help.

The authors thank the Health and Epidemiology Laboratory of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Chia-Yi Branch for their comments and assistance in data analysis.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Kontis V, Bennett JE, Mathers CD, Li G, Foreman K, Ezzati M. Future life expectancy in 35 industrialized countries: projections with a Bayesian model ensemble. Lancet 2017; 389: 1323-35.
- Liang JA, Sun LM, Yeh JJ, Sung FC, Chang SN, Kao CH. The association between malignancy and end-stage renal disease in Taiwan. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2011; 41: 752-7.
- Butler AM, Olshan AF, Kshirsagar AV, et al. Cancer incidence among US Medicare ESRD patients receiving hemodialysis, 1996-2009. Am J Kidney Dis 2015; 65: 763-72.
- 4. Chien CC, Han MM, Chiu YH, et al. Epidemiology of cancer in end-stage renal disease dialysis patients: a national cohort study in Taiwan. J Cancer 2017; 8: 9-18.
- Shebl FM, Warren JL, Eggers PW, Engels EA. Cancer risk among elderly persons with end-stage renal disease: a population-based case-control study. BMC Nephrology 2012; 13: 65.
- 6. Yoo KD, Lee JP, Lee SM, et al. Cancer in Korean patient with end-stage renal disease: a 7-year follow-up. PLoS One 2017; 12: e0178649.
- 7. Maisonneuve P, Agodoa L, Gellert R, et al. Cancer in patients on dialysis for end-stage renal disease: an international collaborative study. Lancet 1999; 354: 93-9.
- Lin MY, Kuo MC, Hung CC, et al. Association of dialysis with the risk of cancers. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0122856.
- 9. Lin HF, Li YH, Wang CH, Chou CL, Kuo DJ, Fang TC. Increased risk of cancer in chronic dialysis patients: a population-based cohort study in Taiwan. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2012; 27: 1585-90.
- Cheung CY, Chan GCW, Chan SK, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality in chronic dialysis population: a multicenter cohort study. Am J Nephrol 2016; 43: 153-9.
- 11. Goldstraw P, Crowley J, Chansky KJ, et al. The IASLC Lung Cancer Staging Project: proposal for the revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (seventh) edition of the TNM Classification of malignant tumours. J Thorac Oncol 2007; 2: 706-14.
- 12. Levey AS, de Jong PE, Coresh J, et al. The definition, classification, and prognosis of chronic kidney disease: a KDIGO Controversies Conference Report. Kidney Int 2011; 80: 17-28.
- 13. Stauffer ME, Fan T. Prevalence of anemia in chronic kidney disease in the United States. PLoS One 2014; 9: e84943.
- 14. Tsai MH, Hsu CY, Lin MY, et al. Incidence, prevalence, and duration of chronic kidney disease in Taiwan: results from a community-based screening program of 106,094 individuals. Nephron 2018; 140: 175-84.
- 15. van Walraven C, Manuel DG, Knoll G. Survival trend in ESRD patients compared with the general population in the United States. Am J Kidney Dis 2014; 63: 491-9.
- 16. Saran R, Robinson B, Abbott KC, et al. US Renal Data System 2017 Annual Data Report: epidemiology of kidney disease in the United States. Am J Kidney Dis 2018; 71 (Suppl 1): S1-672.
- 17. Loy EY, Choong HL, Chow KY. Cancer among end-stage renal disease patients on dialysis. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2013; 42: 640-5.
- 18. Shang W, Huang L, Li L, et al. Cancer risk in patients receiving renal replacement therapy: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. Mol Clin Oncol 2016; 5: 315-25.

- 19. Ku CX, Pawitan Y, Sim X, et al. Genomic copy number variations in three Southeast Asian populations. Hum Mutat 2010; 31: 851-7.
- 20. Brzozowska M, Wierzba W, Szafraniec-Burylo S, et al. Overall survival of patients with EGFR mutation-positive non-small cell lung cancer treated with erlotinib, gefitinib or afatinib under drug programmes in Poland-real-world data. Arch Med Sci 2021; 17: 1618-27.
- 21. Hoffman RM, Sanchez R. Lung cancer screening. Med Clin North Am 2017; 101: 769-85.
- Sharma D, Newman TG, Aronow WS. Lung cancer screening: history, current perspectives, and future directions. Arch Med Sci 2015; 11: 1033-43.
- Holley JL. Screening, diagnosis, and treatment of cancer in long-term dialysis patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2007; 2: 604-10.
- 24. Taneja S, Mandayam S, Kayani ZZ, Kuo YF, Shahinian VB. Comparison of stage at diagnosis of cancer in patients who are on dialysis versus the general population. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2007; 2: 1008-13.
- Chiang CJ, Lo WC, Yang YW, You SL, Chen CJ, Lai MH. Incidence and survival of adult cancer patients in Taiwan, 2002-2012. J Formos Med Assoc 2016; 115: 1076-88.
- Radzikowska E, Glaz P, Roszkowski K. Lung cancer in women: age, smoking, histology, performance status, stage, initial treatment and survival-population based study of 20.561 cases. Ann Oncol 2002; 13: 1087-93.
- Wisnivesky JP, Halm EA. Sex differences in lung cancer survival: do tumor behave differently in elderly women? J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 1705-12.
- Kinoshita FL, Yuri I, Morishima T, Miyashiro I, Nakayama T. Sex differences in lung cancer survival: long-term trends using population-based cancer registry data in Osaka, Japan. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2017; 47: 863-9.
- 29. Ock M, Choi WJ, Jo MW. Trend analysis of major cancer statistics according to sex and severity levels in Korea. PLoS One 2018; 13: e0203110.
- Sagerup CM, Småstuen M, Johannesen TB, Helland A, Brustugun OT. Sex-specific trends in lung cancer incidence and survival: a population study of 40 118 cases. Thorax 2011; 66: 301-7.
- 31. Wang BY, Huang JY, Cheng CY, Lin CH, Ko JL, Liaw YP. Lung cancer and prognosis in Taiwan: a population-based cancer registry. J Thorac Oncol 2013; 8: 1128-35.
- 32. Takahama M, Yamamoto R, Nakajima R, Tsukioka T, Tada H. Pulmonary resection for lung cancer patients on chronic hemodialysis: clinical outcome and long term results after operation. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg 2010; 11: 150-3.
- 33. Obuchi T, Hamanaka W, Yoshida Y, et al. Clinical outcome after pulmonary resection for lung cancer patients on hemodialysis. Ann Thorac Surg 2009; 88: 1745-8.
- 34. Ciriaco O, Casiraghi M, Melloni G, et al. Pulmonary resection for non-small-cell lung cancer patients on hemodialysis: clinical outcome and long-term results. World J Surg 2005; 29: 1516-9.
- 35. Tsuchida M, Yamato Y, Aoki T, et al. Complications associated with pulmonary resection in lung cancer patients on dialysis. Ann Thorac Surg 2001; 71: 435-8.
- 36. Janus N, Launay-Vacher V, Thyss A, et al. Management of anticancer treatment in patients under chronic dialysis: results of the multicentric CANDY (CANcer and DialYsis) study. Ann Oncol 2013; 24: 501-7.
- 37. Cai L, Zhu JF, Zhang XW, et al. A comparative analysis of EGFR mutation status in association with the efficacy of TKI in combination with WBRT/SRS/surgery plus che-

motherapy in brain metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer. J Neurooncol 2014; 120: 423-30.

- Wang X, Xu Y, Tang W, Liu L Efficacy and safety of radiotherapy plus EGFR-TKIs in NSCLC patients with brain metastases: a meta-analysis of published data. Transl Oncol 2018; 11: 1119-27.
- 39. Wang W, Song Z. Zhang Y. Efficacy of brain radiotherapy plus EGFR-TKI for EGFR-mutated NSCLC patients who develop brain metastasis. Arch Med Sci 2018; 14: 1298-307.